1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NORTHERN DIS	TES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA NCISCO DIVISION Case No. CV-10-5030 RS RADIANCY, INC.'S STATEMENT OF NON-OPPOSITION TO TRIA BEAUTY, INC.'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Date: August 25, 2011 Time: 1:30 p.m. Ctrm: 3 Honorable Judge Richard Seeborg
22		
23	·	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
24	VS.	JUNI IRIAL DEMANDED
25	TRIA BEAUTY, INC.	
26	Counterclaim- Defendant.	
27 28		

1 On July 8, 2011, Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant Tria Beauty, Inc. ("TRIA") filed a Motion for Leave to File its First Amended Complaint (the 2 "Motion"). 3 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3(b), Defendant-Counterclaim Plaintiff 4 Radiancy, Inc. ("Radiancy") hereby informs the Court that it does not oppose the 5 6 Motion. Radiancy does, however, disagree with the allegations and claims 7 propounded in TRIA's proposed First Amended Complaint, and reserves all of its rights concerning the same. 8 9 Radiancy also informs this Court that page 2, lines 9-17, of TRIA's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of the Motion contains an 10 11 inaccurate description of certain aspects of the Court-directed mediation proceeding that occurred in March of this year. Notwithstanding its inaccuracy, TRIA's 12 disclosure is also improper under ADR Local Rule 6-12, which provides that 13 anything that occurred or was said in mediation is confidential and shall not be 14 15 disclosed to the assigned judge or used for any purpose in any pending or future 16 proceeding in this court. Accordingly, Radiancy respectfully requests the Court disregard that portion of TRIA's Motion. 17 Respectfully submitted, 18 19 20 DATED: July 22, 2011 Brendan J. O'Rourke Kristin H. Neuman 21 Victoria L. Loughery Robert H. Horn 22 PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 23 24 /s/ Robert H. Horn By: Robert H. Horn 25 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff Radiancy, Inc. 26 27 28